

Planning Committee

4th January 2012

MINUTES

Present:

Councillor Michael Chalk (Chair), Councillor Roger Hill (Vice-Chair) and Councillors Andrew Brazier and Bill Hartnett

Also Present:

Jinny Pearce (Portfolio Holder/observer)

Officers:

R Bamford, A Hussain, A Rutt and S Skinner

Committee Services Officer:

J Smyth

61. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillors Robin King, Wanda King and Brenda Quinney.

62. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made.

63. PLANNING APPLICATION 2011/315/EXT – HOMEBASE LTD, ABBEY RETAIL PARK, REDDITCH

Extension of time application
External alterations to building and internal works
to create one additional unit as approved under
Planning Application 2008/362/FUL

Applicant: Essex County Council Pension Fund

RESOLVED that

having regard to the Development Plan and to all other material considerations, Planning Permission be GRANTED, subject to the conditions and informative summarised in the report.

.....
Chair

**64. APPEAL OUTCOME –
30 HEWELL ROAD, REDDITCH**

The Committee received an item of information in relation to the outcome of an appeal against a refusal of planning permission, namely:

Planning Application 2010/275/COU
Change of use of retail / warehouse to a restaurant

The appeal against the Council's decision to refuse planning permission had been dismissed, on the grounds that a lack of car parking to serve the development would likely be detrimental to highway safety and that the proposal would represent inappropriate development in the proposed location.

RESOLVED that

the report be noted.

**65. APPEAL OUTCOME –
LAND ADJACENT TO 656 EVESHAM ROAD, REDDITCH**

The Committee received an item of information in relation to the outcome of an appeal against a refusal of planning permission, taken by Officers under delegated powers, namely:

Planning Application 2011/008/FUL
Erection of a two-bedroomed detached dwelling

The appeal against the Council's decision to refuse planning permission had been dismissed, on the grounds that the proposed dwelling would, by virtue of its siting, design and appearance, represent inappropriate development in the location and would have an adverse impact upon the visual amenities of the area.

RESOLVED that

the report be noted.

The Meeting commenced at 7.00 pm
and closed at 7.09 pm

.....
CHAIR